

8. Complete Measures

Definition 8.1.

Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a measure space and let N be a subset of X , we say that N is a null set if there is $A \in \mathcal{F}$, with $\mu(A) = 0$ such that $N \subset A$. Let \mathcal{N} be the family of null subsets of X . The space (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) is said to be complete if $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{F}$ i.e every null set is measurable.

Examples 8.2.

(a) The counting measure on any set X is complete since in this case ϕ is the only null set.

(b) If μ_s is the Dirac measure at s on (X, \mathcal{F}) (**Example 7.2.(a)**), every subset N not containing s is a null set

Lemma 8.3.

The family \mathcal{N} is closed by countable union.

Proof. Let (N_k) be a sequence in \mathcal{N} , then for each k there is $A_k \in \mathcal{F}$, with $\mu(A_k) = 0$ such that $N_k \subset A_k$. So $N = \bigcup_k N_k \subset \bigcup_k A_k$; by the sub σ -additivity of μ we have $\mu\left(\bigcup_n A_n\right) \leq \sum_n \mu(A_n) = 0$. ■

It is possible to complete any measure space (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) according to the following:

Theorem 8.4.

Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a measure space and let \mathcal{N} be the family of null subsets of X . Let us put:

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \{E \subset X: E = F \cup N, F \in \mathcal{F}, N \in \mathcal{N}\}$$

$$\mu_0(E) = \mu_0(F \cup N) = \mu(F), \text{ if } E = F \cup N, F \in \mathcal{F}, N \in \mathcal{N}$$

Then: \mathcal{F}_0 is a σ -field on X containing \mathcal{F} , and \mathcal{N}

μ_0 is a well defined measure on \mathcal{F}_0 that coincides with μ on \mathcal{F} .

The measure space $(X, \mathcal{F}_0, \mu_0)$ is complete.

Proof. First \mathcal{F}_0 is a σ -field

it is clear that ϕ and X are in \mathcal{F}_0

let $E \in \mathcal{F}_0$ with $E = F \cup N, F \in \mathcal{F}, N \in \mathcal{N}$ and let $A \in \mathcal{F}$, such that $\mu(A) = 0, N \subset A$; then we have $E^c = F^c \cap N^c = (F^c \cap N^c \cap A) + (F^c \cap N^c \cap A^c) = (F^c \cap N^c \cap A) + (F^c \cap A^c)$; since $F^c \cap N^c \cap A \in \mathcal{N}$ and $F^c \cap A^c \in \mathcal{F}$

we have $E^c \in \mathcal{F}_0$. Finally \mathcal{F}_0 is closed by countable union and this comes from the same property for the family \mathcal{N} (**Lemma 8.3**).

To finish the proof, we consider the set function μ_0 . First it is well defined, indeed suppose the set $E \in \mathcal{F}_0$ can be written as $E = F_1 \cup N_1 = F_2 \cup N_2$, then $F_1 \cap F_2^c \subset N_1 \cup N_2$ and $F_2 \cap F_1^c \subset N_1 \cup N_2$ which gives $\mu(F_1 \cap F_2^c) = \mu(F_2 \cap F_1^c) = 0$, so $\mu(F_1) = \mu(F_2)$ and $\mu_0(E) = \mu_0(F \cup N) = \mu(F)$ is well defined.

To prove the σ -additivity of μ_0 , let (E_n) be a pairwise disjoint sequence in \mathcal{F}_0 , and write $E_k = F_k \cup N_k, k \geq 1$, with $F_k \in \mathcal{F}, N_k \in \mathcal{N}$.

Then we have $\sum_k E_k = \sum_k F_k \cup \sum_k N_k$, with $\sum_k N_k \in \mathcal{N}$ (**Lemma 8.3**).

and $\mu_0\left(\sum_k E_k\right) = \mu\left(\sum_k F_k\right) = \sum_k \mu(F_k) = \sum_k \mu_0(E_k)$, since μ is σ -additive.

Finally we prove that $(X, \mathcal{F}_0, \mu_0)$ is complete. Let M_0 be a μ_0 null set in X , so there is $E_0 \in \mathcal{F}_0$ with $\mu_0(E_0) = 0$ and $M_0 \subset E_0$; write $E_0 = F \cup N, F \in \mathcal{F}, N \in \mathcal{N}$ with $\mu_0(E_0) = \mu(F) = 0$ and $N \subset A \in \mathcal{F}, \mu(A) = 0$, so $M_0 \subset F \cup A$, with $\mu(F \cup A) = 0$; this proves that $M_0 \in \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{F}_0$ and M_0 is \mathcal{F}_0 measurable. ■

9. Exercises

10. A family σ of subsets of X is σ -additive if:

- (1) ϕ and X are in σ
- (2) If (A_n) is an increasing sequence in σ then $\bigcup_n A_n \in \sigma$

(3) For any A, B in σ we have:

$$A \subset B \implies B \cap A^c \in \sigma$$

$$A \cap B = \phi \implies A + B \in \sigma$$

(a) prove that any σ -field is a σ -additive family

(b) let μ, λ be two measures on the same measurable space (X, \mathcal{F}) such that $\mu(X) = \lambda(X) < \infty$.

Prove that the family $\sigma = \{A \in \mathcal{F}: \mu(A) = \lambda(A)\}$ is σ -additive.

Let \mathcal{C} be a family of subsets of X then there exists a smallest σ -additive family on X containing \mathcal{C} called the σ -additive family generated by \mathcal{C} .

11. Let \mathfrak{S} be a family of subsets of X closed by finite intersection. Prove that the σ -field generated by \mathfrak{S} coincides with the σ -additive family generated by \mathfrak{S} .

Chapter 2

Outer measures Extension of measures

1. Outer measures

Definition 1.1.

An outer measure on a set X is a set function

$\lambda : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that:

(1) $\lambda(\emptyset) = 0$

(2) if $A \subset B$ then $\lambda(A) \leq \lambda(B)$

(3) if (E_n) is any sequence in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ then $\lambda\left(\bigcup_n E_n\right) \leq \sum_n \lambda(E_n)$

Remark 1.2.

It is not difficult to see that if λ is additive then λ is a positive measure on $\mathcal{P}(X)$.

Example 1.3.

(a) Any positive measure on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is an outer measure.

(b) Define λ on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ by $\lambda(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\lambda(E) = 1$ if $E \neq \emptyset$; if X has more than one point then λ is an outer measure but not a measure.

We can say that the notion of outer measure is a natural generalization of that of positive measure. We will see below that an outer measure acts as a true measure on a some specific family of subsets of X . Let us start with the following:

Definition 1.4.

Let λ be an outer measure on X . A subset $E \subset X$ is said to be outer measurable or λ -measurable if we have:

$$\text{for every } A \subset X, \quad \lambda(A) = \lambda(A \cap E) + \lambda(A \cap E^c)$$

Example 1.5.

(a) A subset $E \subset X$ with $\lambda(E) = 0$ is λ -measurable.

(b) X, \emptyset are λ -measurable for every outer measure λ .

(c) Let λ be defined on X by $\lambda(\emptyset) = 0$, $\lambda(X) = 2$, $\lambda(E) = 1$ for $E \neq \emptyset, X$.

Then λ is an outer measure and \emptyset, X are the only λ -measurable sets.

Now we go to the important assertion:

Theorem 1.6.

Let λ be an outer measure on X

and let \mathcal{F} be the family of the λ -measurable sets.

Then \mathcal{F} is a σ -field and the restriction of λ to \mathcal{F} is a positive measure.

Proof. see [7].

2. Exercises

12. Let λ be an outer measure on X and let H be a λ -measurable set. Let λ_0 be the restriction of λ to $\mathcal{P}(H)$, prove that:

- (a) λ_0 is an outer measure on $\mathcal{P}(H)$.
- (b) $A \subset H$ is λ_0 -measurable iff A is λ -measurable.

13. Let λ be an outer measure on X and let A be a λ -measurable set. If $B \subset X$ is a subset with $\lambda(B) < \infty$, prove that:

$$\lambda(A \cup B) = \lambda(A) + \lambda(B) - \lambda(A \cap B)$$

3. Extension of Measures

We start this section with the construction of an outer measure from a measure defined on an algebra of sets.

Definition 3.1.

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra on X . A positive measure on \mathcal{A} is a set function $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that:

- (i) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$
- (ii) For every pairwise disjoint sequence (A_n) in \mathcal{A} with $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{A}$:

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_n A_n\right) = \sum_n \mu(A_n) \quad (\sigma\text{-additivity of } \mu).$$

Any measure on an algebra \mathcal{A} gives rise to an outer measure according to:

Theorem 3.2.

Let μ be a measure on an algebra \mathcal{A} .

For each subset $E \subset X$ define $\lambda(E)$ by the recipe:

$$\lambda(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_n \mu(A_n) : E \subset \bigcup_n A_n, \quad (A_n) \subset \mathcal{A} \right\}$$

the lower bound being taken over all sequences $(A_n) \subset \mathcal{A}$.

Then λ is an outer measure whose restriction to \mathcal{A} coincides with μ .

Moreover the sets of \mathcal{A} are λ -measurable.

Proof. see [7].

Definition 3.3. (σ -finite measures)

Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a measure space. We say that the measure μ is σ -finite if there is a sequence (A_n) in \mathcal{F} , such that $\bigcup_n A_n = X$ and $\mu(A_n) < \infty, \forall n$.

A measure μ on an algebra \mathcal{A} is σ -finite if there is a sequence (A_n) in \mathcal{A} such that $\bigcup_n A_n = X$ and $\mu(A_n) < \infty, \forall n$.

Example 3.4.

- (a) Any finite measure μ , i.e $\mu(X) < \infty$, is σ -finite
- (b) The counting measure on \mathbb{N} or on any infinite countable set is σ -finite but not finite.
- (c) we will see later that the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} is a non trivial σ -finite measure.

Now we give the main extension theorem:

Theorem 3.5.

Let μ be a measure on an algebra \mathcal{A} of subsets of X . Then μ can be extended to a measure $\bar{\mu}$ on the σ -field $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ generated by \mathcal{A} . Moreover if μ is σ -finite on \mathcal{A} the extension $\bar{\mu}$ is unique.

Proof.

Let μ^* be the outer measure given by Theorem. 3.2 and let \mathcal{F} be the σ -field of μ^* -measurable sets. By the same theorem we have $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{F}$ and μ^* coincides with μ on \mathcal{A} . So we have $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{F}$. By Theorem. 1.6 μ^* acts as a true measure on \mathcal{F} . Then it is enough to take $\bar{\mu}$ as the restriction of μ^* to $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$. We prove the uniqueness in the case μ finite. Suppose the existence of two extensions μ_1, μ_2 for μ and consider the family $\mathcal{M} = \{A \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}) : \mu_1(A) = \mu_2(A)\}$. It is not difficult to prove that \mathcal{M} is a monotone class which contains \mathcal{A} (use the finiteness of the measures) So we have $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ and since \mathcal{A} is an algebra the monotone class generated by \mathcal{A} is identical to the σ -field generated by \mathcal{A} (Theorem 3.10, Chap. 1) We deduce that $\mathcal{M} = \sigma(\mathcal{A})$. We leave the σ -finiteness case to the reader. ■

Theorem 3.6.

Let μ be a σ -finite measure on an algebra \mathcal{A} of subsets of X . Let $\bar{\mu}$ be the unique extension of μ to the σ -field $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ generated by \mathcal{A} . If $B \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ with $\bar{\mu}(B) < \infty$, then:

$\forall \epsilon > 0$ there is $A_\epsilon \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\bar{\mu}(B \Delta A_\epsilon) < \epsilon$
where $B \Delta A_\epsilon$ is the symmetric difference $(B \cap A_\epsilon^c) \cup (A_\epsilon \cap B^c)$.

Proof. By Theorems 3.2 and 3.5 the unique extension $\bar{\mu}$ has the form:

$$\bar{\mu}(B) = \inf \left\{ \sum_n \mu(A_n) : B \subset \bigcup_n A_n, (A_n) \subset \mathcal{A} \right\}$$

If $B \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ with $\bar{\mu}(B) < \infty, \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists (A_n) \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $B \subset \bigcup_n A_n$ and

$$\sum_n \mu(A_n) < \bar{\mu}(B) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \text{ then use the fact that } \bigcup_n A_n = \lim_N \bigcup_1^N A_n \text{ and } \bigcup_1^N A_n \in \mathcal{A};$$

$$\text{put } B_N = \bigcup_1^N A_n \text{ then } \bar{\mu}\left(\bigcup_n A_n\right) = \lim_N \bar{\mu}(B_N) = \lim_N \mu(B_N).$$

So for some N_0 we have $\bar{\mu}\left(\bigcup_n A_n\right) < \bar{\mu}(B_{N_0}) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, then the set $A_\epsilon = B_{N_0}$ is in \mathcal{A} and works. ■

4. Exercises

14. An outer measure μ^* on X is regular if for any $A \subset X$ there is a μ^* -measurable set E such that $A \subset E$ and $\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(E)$.

(a) If μ^* is regular then for any sequence (A_n) of subsets of X we have

$$\mu^*\left(\liminf_n A_n\right) \leq \liminf_n \mu^*(A_n).$$

(b) If moreover the sequence (A_n) is increasing then $\mu^*\left(\lim_n A_n\right) = \lim_n \mu^*(A_n)$.

15. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a measure space. Define μ^* on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ by the recipe:

$$\mu^*(E) = \inf \{ \mu(A) : A \in \mathcal{F} \text{ } E \subset A \}$$

(a) Prove that μ^* is an outer measure.

(b) Prove that $\forall E \subset X \quad \exists A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $E \subset A$ and $\mu^*(E) = \mu(A)$.

(c) Let us define μ_* on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ by the recipe:

$$\mu_*(E) = \sup \{ \mu(A) : A \in \mathcal{F} \text{ } E \subset A \}$$

Prove that $\forall E \subset X$, in either case $\mu_*(E) < \infty$ or $\mu_*(E) = \infty$, there is $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $E \subset A$ and $\mu_*(E) = \mu(A)$.

(d) Prove that $\mu_*(E) \leq \mu^*(E)$, $\forall E \subset X$ and if E is μ^* -measurable then $\mu_*(E) = \mu^*(E)$. If $\mu_*(E) = \mu^*(E) < \infty$ then E is μ^* -measurable.

5. Lebesgue Measure on \mathbb{R}

Measure on the Algebra generated by the semialgebra of intervals

Let us recall that a family \mathcal{S} of subsets of a set X is a semialgebra if it satisfies:

(a) ϕ, X are in \mathcal{S}

(b) If A, B are in \mathcal{S} then $A \cap B$ is in \mathcal{S}

(c) If A is in \mathcal{S} then $A^c = \sum_1^n A_k$, where the sets A_k are pairwise disjoint in \mathcal{S}

(see Chapter 1 exercise 4)

We recall also that the algebra generated by the semialgebra \mathcal{S} is the family

$$\left\{ A : A = \sum_1^n S_k, \text{ where the } S_k \text{ are pairwise disjoint in } \mathcal{S}. \right\}$$

It is easy to prove that the family \mathcal{I} of all intervals of \mathbb{R} is a semialgebra. Let \mathcal{A} be the algebra generated by \mathcal{I} . It is well known that the borel σ -field $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of \mathbb{R} is generated by \mathcal{A} or simply by \mathcal{I} . Now if $A \in \mathcal{A}$ has the form $A = \sum_1^n I_k$,

where the I_k are pairwise disjoint in \mathcal{I} , put $\mu(A) = \sum_1^n \lambda(I_k)$, where $\lambda(I)$ is the length of the interval I . Then μ is unambiguously defined on \mathcal{A} . Moreover μ is a σ -finite measure on the algebra \mathcal{A} . By Theorems **3.2** and **3.5** the unique extension $\bar{\mu}$ of μ to the σ -field $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ generated by \mathcal{A} has the form:

$$\bar{\mu}(B) = \inf \left\{ \sum_n \mu(A_n) : B \subset \bigcup_n A_n, \quad (A_n) \subset \mathcal{A} \right\}$$

The completion of the measure space $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}, \bar{\mu})$ is the Lebesgue space $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}, \bar{\mu}^*)$ (see Theorem **8.4**, Chap.1). In fact each set $E \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$ has the form $E = B \cup N$, where $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and N is a $\bar{\mu}$ -null set. Let us note the following approximation result:

Theorem 5.1.

Let $E \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$, then we have:

$\forall \epsilon > 0$ there is a closed set F and an open set G such that:

$$F \subset E \subset G \text{ and } \bar{\mu}^*(G \setminus F) < \epsilon$$