### Solutions of Exercises (MEA-INT)

#### $\mathbf{2}$

For every sequence  $(A_n)$ , define the sequence  $(B_n)$  by the following recipe:  $B_1 = A_1, B_2 = A_2 \backslash A_1, B_3 = A_3 \backslash (A_1 \cup A_2), \dots, B_n = A_n \backslash \left(\bigcup_{i < n} A_i\right), \dots$ Prove that  $\bigcup_n A_n = \sum_n B_n$ . It is clear that the  $B_n$  are pairwise disjoint and

that  $B_n \subset A_n, \forall n$ . Then  $\sum_{n=1}^{n} B_n \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{n} A_n$ .

Now we show that  $\bigcup_{n}^{n} \subset \sum_{n}^{n} B_{n}$ . Let  $x \in \bigcup_{n}^{n} A_{n}$ , so there is  $n \geq 1$  such that

 $x \in A_n$ . Put  $n_1 = \inf \{n \ge 1, x \in A_n\}$ this means that  $x \in A_{n_1}$  and  $x \notin \bigcup_{i < n_1} A_i$ , that is  $x \in B_{n_1}$ . Therefore for each  $x \in \bigcup_n A_n$  there is  $n_1$  such that  $x \in B_{n_1}$  which means that  $\bigcup_n A_n \subset \sum B_n$ .

## 1

First we must add the missing condition:  $A \in \mathcal{F} \Longrightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{F}$ . We have to prove that for every sequence  $(A_n) \subset \mathcal{F}$  we have  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ . By the solution above we have  $\bigcup_{n} A_n = \sum_{n} B_n$  and by definition

$$B_n = A_n \setminus \left( \bigcup_{i < n} A_i \right) = A_n \cap A_1^c \cap A_2^c \cap \dots \cap A_{n-1}^c$$

so we have each  $A_i^c \in \mathcal{F}$  and by condition (b)  $B_n \in \mathcal{F}, \forall n$ moreover the sets  $B_n$  are paiwise disjoint and condition (c) implies  $\sum_n B_n \in \mathcal{F}$ 

and since  $\bigcup_{n} A_{n} = \sum_{n} B_{n}$  we deduce that  $\bigcup_{n} A_{n} \in \mathcal{F}$ .

### 3

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a family of subsets of a set X. If E is any subset in X, we define the trace of  $\mathcal{A}$  on E by the family  $\mathcal{A} \cap E = \{A \cap E, A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ . Prove that  $\sigma(\mathcal{A} \cap E) = \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cap E$ .

# solution.

First we prove that  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cap E$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on E:  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cap E = \{ F \subset X : \exists K \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \text{ with } F = K \cap E \}$ (1)  $E \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cap E$  since  $E = X \cap E$  and  $X \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ (2) let  $H \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cap E$  with  $H = K \cap E$  and  $K \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ we prove that the complement of H in E that is  $E \setminus H$  is in  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cap E$ we have  $E \setminus H = E \cap H^c = E \cap (K \cap E)^c = E \cap K^c$  and  $K^c \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ so  $E \setminus H \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cap E$ (3) Let  $(H_n)$ , be a sequence in  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cap E$  with  $H_n = K_n \cap E, K_n \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ then  $\bigcup_{n} H_{n} = \left(\bigcup_{n} K_{n}\right) \cap E$ , and  $\bigcup_{n} K_{n} \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ , since  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. 4. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a family of subsets of a set X. We say that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a semialgebra if it satisfies:

(a)  $\phi$ , X are in S

(b) If A, B are in S then  $A \cap B$  is in S

(c) If A is in  $\mathcal{S}$  then  $A^c = \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_k$ , where the sets  $A_k$  are pairwise disjoint in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Prove that the algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\hat{\mathcal{S}})$  generated by the semialgebra  $\mathcal{S}$  is the family

 $\mathcal{A} = \left\{ A : A = \sum_{1}^{n} S_k, \text{ where the } S_k \text{ are pairwise disjoint in } \mathcal{S}. \right\}$ solution.

 $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{A}$  since any  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  can be written as  $S = S + \phi$  and  $S, \phi$  are in  $\mathcal{S}$ . now we prove that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra:

Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $A = \sum_{1}^{n} S_k$ , then  $A^c = \bigcap_{1}^{n} S_k^c$ , we apply condition (c) to each  $S_k^c$  and obtain:

$$S_k^c = \sum_{1}^{n_k} A_{i_k}, \text{ so } A^c = \bigcap_{k=1}^n \sum_{1}^{n_k} A_{i_k} = \left(\sum_{1}^{n_1} A_{i_k}\right) \cap \left(\sum_{1}^{n_2} A_{i_k}\right) \cap \ldots \cap \left(\sum_{1}^{n_n} A_{i_k}\right) = \sum_{i_1=1}^{n_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{n_2} \ldots \sum_{i_n=1}^{n_n} A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap \ldots \cap A_{i_n}, \text{ and since each } A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap \ldots \cap A_{i_n} \text{ is in } \mathcal{S}$$

<sup>*i*1=1*i*2=1</sup> <sup>*i*n=1</sup> we deduce that  $A^c$  is in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $A = \sum_{1}^{n} S_k$  and  $B = \sum_{1}^{m} T_j$  both in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $A \cap B = \sum_{k} \sum_{j} S_k \cap T_j \in \mathcal{A}$ , because  $S_k \cap T_j \in \mathcal{S} \ \forall k, j$ . So  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra, and since it contains  $\mathcal{S}$  it also contains the algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$  generated by  $\mathcal{S}$ , that is  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{A}$ . On the other hand let  $A = \sum_{1}^{n} S_k \in \mathcal{A}$ , since each  $S_k$  is in  $\mathcal{S}$ , we have  $A = \sum_{1}^{n} S_k \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$  so  $\mathcal{A}\subset\mathcal{A}\left(\mathcal{S}
ight)$  .

5. Let  $\mathbb{R}$  the set of real numbers equiped with the usual topology, prove that the family of all intervals is a semialgebra.

**solution.** Straightforward and left to the reader.

- 8. Prove that for any sequence  $(A_n)$  in  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  we have:
  - 1.  $\liminf_n A_n \subset \limsup_n A_n$

2. 
$$\left(\liminf_{n} A_n\right)^c = \limsup_{n} A_n^c$$

3. 
$$\left(\limsup_{n} A_n\right)^c = \liminf_{n} A_n^c$$

solution,

Recall the frequently used **De Morgan's Laws:** 

 $\left(\bigcup_{i}A_{i}\right)^{c} = \bigcap_{i}A_{i}^{c}, \quad \left(\bigcap_{i}A_{i}\right)^{c} = \bigcup_{i}A_{i}^{c}$ valid for any family  $\{A_{i}, i \in I\}$ , where  $A^{c}$  denotes the complement of the set A.

For any sequence  $(A_n)$  of sets, we defined the sets  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} A_n$  and  $\liminf_{n \to \infty} A_n$  by:

$$\begin{split} & \limsup_n A_n = \underset{n \ge 1}{\cap} \underset{k \ge n}{\cup} A_k \\ & \liminf_n A_n = \underset{n \ge 1}{\cup} \underset{k \ge n}{\cap} A_k \end{split}$$

solution of 1. we have  $\forall n \geq 1$ ,  $\bigcap_{k\geq n} A_k \subset \bigcup_{k\geq n} A_k \Longrightarrow$ whence  $\bigcup_{n\geq 1} \bigcap_{k\geq n} A_k \subset \bigcap_{n\geq 1} \bigcup_{k\geq n} A_k$ we apply **De Morgan's Laws** 

solution of 2.  $\left(\liminf_{n} A_{n}\right)^{c} = \left(\bigcup_{n \ge 1} A_{k}\right)^{c} = \bigcap_{n \ge 1} \bigcup_{k \ge n} A_{k}^{c} = \limsup_{n} A_{n}^{c}$ solution of 3.  $\left(\limsup_{n} A_{n}\right)^{c} = \left(\bigcap_{n \ge 1} \bigcup_{k \ge n} A_{k}\right)^{c} = \bigcup_{n \ge 1} \bigcap_{k \ge n} A_{k}^{c} = \liminf_{n} A_{n}^{c}$ 

**9.** Let  $I_A$  be the indicator function of the set A, i.e  $I_A(x) = 1$  if  $x \in A$  and  $I_A(x) = 0$  if  $x \notin A$ .

Prove that for any sequence  $(A_n)$  in  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  we have::

 $I_{\limsup_{n} A_n} = \limsup_{n} I_{A_n}$  and  $I_{\liminf_{n} A_n} = \liminf_{n} I_{A_n}$ 

#### solution

we have to show that  $I_{\limsup A_{n}}(x) = \limsup I_{A_{n}}(x), \forall x \in X$ 

 $I_{\limsup_{n} A_{n}}(x) = 1 \iff x \in \bigcap_{n \ge 1} \bigcup_{k \ge n} A_{k} \iff \forall n \ge 1, \exists k \ge n : x \in A_{k}$ so  $I_{A_{k}}(x) = 1$  which is equivalent to  $\limsup_{n \ge 1} I_{A_{n}}(x) = 1$ 

10. A family  $\sigma$  of subsets of X is  $\sigma$ -additive if:

(1)  $\phi$  and X are in  $\sigma$ 

(2) If  $(A_n)$  is an increasing sequence in  $\sigma$  then  $\bigcup A_n \in \sigma$ 

(3) For any A, B in  $\sigma$  we have:

 $A \subset B \Longrightarrow B \cap A^c \in \sigma$ 

 $A \cap B = \phi \Longrightarrow A + B \in \sigma$ 

(a) prove that any  $\sigma$ -algebra is a  $\sigma$ -additive family

(b) let  $\mu, \lambda$  be two measures on the same measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  such that  $\mu(X) = \lambda(X) < \infty$ .

Prove that the family  $\sigma = \{A \in \mathcal{F}: \mu(A) = \lambda(A)\}$  is  $\sigma$ -additive.

(c) Let C be a family of subsets of X then prove that there exists a smallest  $\sigma$ -additive family on X containing C called the  $\sigma$ -additive family generated by C.

# solution

(a) any  $\sigma$ -algebra satisfies conditions (1), (2), (3), of a  $\sigma$ -additive family

- (b) let  $\sigma = \{A \in \mathcal{F}: \ \mu(A) = \lambda(A)\}:$
- (1) is satisfied since  $\mu(X) = \lambda(X)$  and  $\mu(\phi) = \lambda(\phi)$  imply  $X, \phi$  in  $\sigma$

(2) is satisfied by the sequential continuity of measures

(3) is satisfied because  $\mu, \lambda$  are finite:  $A \subset B \Longrightarrow \mu(B \cap A^c) = \mu(B) - \mu(A)$ and  $\lambda(B \cap A^c) = \lambda(B) - \lambda(A)$  so  $B \cap A^c \in \sigma$ 

(c) It is not difficult to prove that the intersection  $\bigcap_{i} \sigma_{i}$  of a family  $\{\sigma_{i}, i \in I\}$ 

of  $\sigma$ -additive families is a  $\sigma$ -additive family. Now we take the intersection  $\sigma(C)$  of all  $\sigma$ -additive families  $\sigma$  containing C, then it is clear that  $\sigma(C)$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -additive family on X containing C