

Mathematical
Inequalities
& **A**pplications

*With Compliments
of the Author*

Zagreb, Croatia

Volume 14, Number 1, January 2011

Ameur Seddik

Closed operator inequalities and open problems

MATHEMATICAL INEQUALITIES & APPLICATIONS

AIMS AND SCOPE

Mathematical Inequalities & Applications (MIA, Math. Inequal. Appl.) brings together original research papers in all areas of mathematics, provided they are concerned with inequalities or their role. From time to time MIA will publish invited survey articles. Short notes with interesting results or open problems will also be accepted.

MIA is published quarterly, in January, April, July and October.

SUBMISSION

Manuscripts should be submitted electronically in PostScript or Adobe Acrobat PDF format to the MIA Editorial Office through MIA page: www.ele-math.com or by e-mail mia@ele-math.com

The author who submitted the article for publication will be denoted as a corresponding author. He/She manages all communication and correspondence with the MIA regarding the article. Authors may indicate a member of the Editorial Board whom they consider appropriate for the article. However, assignment to that particular editor is not assured.

In order to facilitate refereeing, copies of those papers (whether by the author or someone else) which are essential and referred to in the manuscript but are not conveniently accessible, should be enclosed.

COPYRIGHT

The acceptance of the article automatically implies the copyright transfer to MIA. Manuscripts are accepted for review with the understanding that the same work has not been published (except in the form of an abstract), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that it will not be submitted to another journal, and that its submission for publication has been approved by all the authors.

PREPARATION OF MANUSCRIPT

Manuscripts should be written in English.

The publisher strongly encourages submission of manuscripts written in TeX or one of its variants La-TeX, AMSTeX or AMSLaTeX. On acceptance of the paper, authors will be asked to send final version of file(s) to the Editorial Office.

TITLE PAGE

A title page should include the title of the article, author's name (no degrees) author's affiliation, e-mail addresses, mailing address of the corresponding author and running head less than 60 characters. Authors should be listed alphabetically.

ABSTRACT, KEY WORDS, SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION

The manuscript must be accompanied by a brief abstract, no longer than 100-150 words. It should make minimal use of mathematical symbols and displayed formulas. Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) with primary (and secondary) subject classification codes and a list of 4-5 key words must be given.

FIGURES

Figures should be prepared in a digital form suitable for direct reproduction, grey scale only, preferably at resolution of 300 dpi or higher, sized to fit a journal page, and in EPS, TIFF or JPEG format. Figures should have a short descriptive title and should be numbered consecutively in order of appearance in the text. The position of each figure in the text should be indicated.

REFERENCES

Bibliographic references should be listed alphabetically at the end of the article. The author should consult Mathematical Reviews for the standard abbreviations of journal names.

PROOFS

The author will receive proofs only once. Late return of the proofs will delay the article to a later issue.

PAGE CHARGES, OFFPRINTS

There are no page charges. Authors jointly receive 30 offprints of their articles free of charge. Additional offprints may be ordered from MIA prior to publication.

FORTHCOMING PAPERS

Papers accepted and prepared for publication will appear in the forthcoming section of Journal Web page. They are identical in form as final printed papers, except volume, issue and page numbers.

MIA is published by Publishing House **ELEMENT**, Zagreb, Croatia.

All correspondence and subscription orders should be addressed to the Editorial Office:

Mathematical Inequalities & Applications
Editorial Office
Menceticeva 2, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
www.ele-math.com
e-mail: mia@ele-math.com
Fax: +385 1 6008799

The content of this publication is indexed in Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt für Mathematik, Реферативный журнал – Математика, Scopus and Science Citation Index-Expanded.

CLOSED OPERATOR INEQUALITIES AND OPEN PROBLEMS

AMEUR SEDDIK

(Communicated by R. Bhatia)

Abstract. In [3, 4], we have given some characterizations of some subclasses of complex Hilbert space normal operators by inequalities. In this note, we shall reformulate these results using a concept of closed inequalities. Also, we shall give some new characterizations. Some open problems concerning closed inequalities are posed at the end of this note.

1. Introduction

We denote by $\mathfrak{B}(H)$ the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space H .

DEFINITION 1. Let $\mathcal{T}(H)$ be a subset of $\mathfrak{B}(H)$, and $\mathfrak{D}(H)$ and $\mathfrak{L}(H)$ be two subsets of $\mathcal{T}(H)$. Let $R(S, X)$ denotes a relation defined on $\mathcal{T}(H) \times \mathfrak{B}(H)$. The proposition

$$\forall S \in \mathfrak{D}(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), R(S, X) \quad (*)$$

is said to be closed (in $\mathcal{T}(H) \times \mathfrak{B}(H)$) if

$$\mathfrak{D}(H) = \{S \in \mathcal{T}(H) : \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), R(S, X)\}$$

If the proposition $(*)$ is closed, then it is clear that the proposition

$$“\forall S \in \mathfrak{L}(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), R(S, X)”$$

holds iff $\mathfrak{L}(H) \subset \mathfrak{D}(H)$, and by definition, its closure is the closed proposition $(*)$.

NOTATION 1. We denote by

(i) $\mathfrak{I}(H)$, $\mathcal{S}(H)$ and $\mathfrak{N}(H)$, the classes of all invertible operators, of all self-adjoint operators and of all normal operators in $\mathfrak{B}(H)$, respectively,

(ii) $\mathcal{S}_0(H)$ and $\mathfrak{N}_0(H)$, the classes of all invertible elements in $\mathcal{S}(H)$ and $\mathfrak{N}(H)$, respectively,

(iii) $\mathfrak{I}_1(H)$ and $\mathcal{S}_1(H)$, the classes of all unit elements in $\mathfrak{I}(H)$ and $\mathcal{S}_0(H)$, respectively,

(iv) $\mathfrak{U}(H)$ and $\mathfrak{U}_r(H)$, the classes of all unitary operators and of all unitary reflections in $\mathfrak{B}(H)$, respectively.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 47B47.

Keywords and phrases: Invertible operator, normal operator, unitary operator, self-adjoint operator, unitary reflection operator, operator inequality.

NOTATION 2. (1) We denote by $(H)_1$, the unit sphere of H .

(2) Let $x, y \in H$. We denote by

(i) $x \otimes y$, the operator defined on H by $(x \otimes y)z = \langle z, y \rangle x, z \in H$.

(ii) $\mathcal{F}_1(H) = \{x \otimes y : x, y \in (H)_1\}$, the set of all unit rank one operators in $\mathfrak{B}(H)$.

It is easy to see that $A(x \otimes y)B = Ax \otimes B^*y$ and $\|x \otimes y\| = \|x\| \|y\|$, for $x, y \in H$ and $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}(H)$.

In [3,4], we have been interested in the following properties:

$$“\forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| \geq 2\|X\|, S \in \mathcal{I}(H)”$$

$$“\forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| \geq 2\|X\|, S \in \mathcal{I}(H)”$$

$$“\forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| = 2\|X\|, S \in \mathcal{I}(H)”$$

We have showed that the first property characterizes the class $\mathbb{C}^*\mathcal{S}_0(H)$, the second property characterizes the class $\mathfrak{N}_0(H)$, and the third property characterizes the class $\mathbb{R}^*\mathfrak{U}(H)$. In terms of closed operator inequalities, the following inequalities are closed

$$\forall S \in \mathbb{C}^*\mathcal{S}_0(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| \geq 2\|X\|,$$

$$\forall S \in \mathfrak{N}_0(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| \geq 2\|X\|,$$

$$\forall S \in \mathbb{R}^*\mathfrak{U}(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| = 2\|X\|.$$

Some others characterizations may be found also in [4]. In this note, we shall present these characterizations using the concept of closed inequalities, and we shall present new closed operator inequalities. Some problems concerning closed operator inequalities are posed at the end of this note.

2. On the Corach-Porta-Recht Inequality

In [1], Corach, Porta and Recht showed that the following operator inequality holds

$$\forall S \in \mathcal{S}_0(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| \geq 2\|X\| \tag{1}$$

This inequality is a key factor in their study of differential geometry of self-adjoint operators. They proved this inequality by using the integral representation of a self-adjoint operator with respect to a spectral measure. Note that we may deduce easily this inequality from a previous operator inequality established by McIntosh in [2], that is

$$\forall A, B, X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|A^*AX + XBB^*\| \geq 2\|AXB\| \tag{2}$$

Indeed, if $S \in \mathcal{S}_0(H)$ and $X \in \mathfrak{B}(H)$, then from (2) it follows that

$$\|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| = \|SS(S^{-1}XS^{-1}) + (S^{-1}XS^{-1})SS\| \geq 2\|S(S^{-1}XS^{-1})S\| = 2\|X\|$$

REMARK 1. (i) The inequality (1) is not closed. Indeed, it is easy to see that the property

$$“\forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| \geq 2\|X\|, S \in \mathcal{I}(H)”$$

holds also for all operators S in $\mathbb{C}^* \mathcal{S}_0(H)$.

(ii) $\mathbb{C}^* \mathcal{S}_0(H)$ is exactly the class of all invertible normal operators in $\mathfrak{B}(H)$ the spectrum of which is included in straight lines passing through the origin.

(iii) Does the property

$$“\forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| \geq 2\|X\|, S \in \mathfrak{I}(H)”$$

hold for every invertible normal operator? This is not true. Indeed, in $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ the invertible normal operator $S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix}$ and the operator $X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ satisfy

$$\|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| = 0 < 2\|X\| = 2.$$

3. Closed Operator Inequalities and Invertible Self-adjoint Operators

In our paper [3], we have showed that the closure of the inequality (1) is the inequality

$$\forall S \in \mathbb{C}^* \mathcal{S}_0(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| \geq 2\|X\| \tag{3}$$

Then the class $\mathbb{C}^* \mathcal{S}_0(H)$ is characterized by the property

$$\forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| \geq 2\|X\|, S \in \mathfrak{I}(H)$$

On the other hand, using the polar decomposition of an operator and the inequality (1), we easily deduce the following closed inequality

$$\forall S \in \mathfrak{I}(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|S^*XS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS^*\| \geq 2\|X\| \tag{4}$$

Recently in our paper [4], using the last inequality (4), we have given other two closed inequalities

$$\forall S \in \mathbb{C}^* \mathcal{S}_0(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| = \|S^*XS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS^*\| \tag{5}$$

$$\forall S \in \mathbb{C}^* \mathcal{S}_0(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| \geq \|S^*XS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS^*\| \tag{6}$$

So from above, the class $\mathbb{C}^* \mathcal{S}_0(H)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}^* \mathcal{S}_0(H) &= \{S \in \mathfrak{I}(H) : \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| \geq 2\|X\|\} \\ &= \{S \in \mathfrak{I}(H) : \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| = \|S^*XS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS^*\|\} \\ &= \{S \in \mathfrak{I}(H) : \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| \geq \|S^*XS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS^*\|\} \end{aligned}$$

4. Closed Operator Inequalities and Invertible Normal Operators

The following Proposition gives us some preliminary characterizations of normal operators.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $A \in \mathfrak{B}(H)$. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (i) A is normal,
- (ii) $\forall x \in H, \|A^*x\| = \|Ax\|$,
- (iii) $\forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|A^*X\| = \|AX\|$,
- (iv) $\forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|XA^*\| = \|XA\|$.

Proof. The equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) follows immediately from the definition of normal operators.

The equivalences (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) and (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) are trivial. \square

It follows from the above Proposition that the following inequality holds

$$\forall S \in \mathfrak{N}_0(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| = \|S^*XS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS^*\| \quad (7)$$

Using the inequalities (4) and (7), we deduce that the following inequality holds

$$\forall S \in \mathfrak{N}_0(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| \geq 2\|X\| \quad (8)$$

In [4], we have proved that each of the two last inequalities is closed.

From the two last closed inequalities and the inequality (4), we may deduce easily that the following inequality is closed

$$\forall S \in \mathfrak{N}_0(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| \geq \|S^*XS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS^*\| \quad (9)$$

New others characterizations of the class $\mathfrak{N}_0(H)$ are given in the following Proposition.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $S \in \mathfrak{I}(H)$. The following properties are equivalent*

- (i) $S \in \mathfrak{N}_0(H)$,
- (ii) $\forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| \leq \|S^*XS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS^*\|$,
- (iii) $\forall X \in \mathfrak{F}_1(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| \leq \|S^*XS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS^*\|$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). This implication follows immediately from (7).

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). This implication is trivial.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). From (iii), it follows that the following inequality holds

$$\forall x, y \in (H)_1, \|Sx\| \|(S^*)^{-1}y\| + \|S^{-1}x\| \|S^*y\| \leq \|S^*x\| \|(S^*)^{-1}y\| + \|S^{-1}x\| \|Sy\|$$

Hence

$$\forall x, y \in (H)_1, (\|Sx\| - \|S^*x\|) \|(S^*)^{-1}y\| \leq (\|Sy\| - \|S^*y\|) \|S^{-1}x\| \quad (A)$$

Thus

$$(\forall x \in (H)_1, \|Sx\| \geq \|S^*x\|) \vee (\forall x \in (H)_1, \|Sx\| \leq \|S^*x\|)$$

Assume that the inequality $\|Sx\| \geq \|S^*x\|$ holds for every $x \in (H)_1$.

Since the relation $\frac{1}{\|T^{-1}\|} \leq \|Tx\| \leq \|T\|$ holds for every $T \in \mathfrak{I}(H)$ and for every $x \in (H)_1$, then from (A), it follows that

$$\forall x, y \in (H)_1, \|Sx\| - \|S^*x\| \leq k(\|Sy\| - \|S^*y\|)$$

where $k = \|S\| \|S^{-1}\|$. So we have

$$\forall x, y \in (H)_1, \|Sx\| + k\|S^*y\| \leq \|S^*x\| + k\|Sy\|$$

Hence

$$\forall x \in (H)_1, \sup_{\|y\|=1} (\|Sx\| + k\|S^*y\|) \leq \sup_{\|y\|=1} (\|S^*x\| + k\|Sy\|)$$

Thus

$$\forall x \in (H)_1, \|Sx\| + k\|S\| \leq \|S^*x\| + k\|S\|$$

So it follows that the inequality $\|Sx\| \leq \|S^*x\|$ holds for every vector x in $(H)_1$. Hence, the equality $\|Sx\| = \|S^*x\|$ holds for every vector x in $(H)_1$. Therefore $S \in \mathfrak{N}_0(H)$.

With the second assumption and by the same argument, we find also that $S \in \mathfrak{N}_0(H)$. \square

COROLLARY 1. *The following inequality is closed*

$$\forall S \in \mathfrak{N}_0(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| \leq \|S^*XS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS^*\| \quad (10)$$

From above, the class $\mathfrak{N}_0(H)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N}_0(H) &= \{S \in \mathfrak{I}(H) : \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| \geq 2\|X\|\} \\ &= \{S \in \mathfrak{I}(H) : \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| = \|S^*XS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS^*\|\} \\ &= \{S \in \mathfrak{I}(H) : \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| \geq \|S^*XS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS^*\|\} \\ &= \{S \in \mathfrak{I}(H) : \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| \leq \|S^*XS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS^*\|\} \end{aligned}$$

5. Closed Operator Inequalities and Unitary Operators

The following Proposition gives us some preliminary characterizations of the class $\mathbb{R}^*\mathfrak{U}(H)$.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let $S \in \mathfrak{I}(H)$. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (i) $S \in \mathbb{R}^*\mathfrak{U}(H)$,
- (ii) $\|S\| \|S^{-1}\| = 1$,
- (iii) $\forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H)$, $\|SXS^{-1}\| = \|X\|$,
- (iv) $\forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H)$, $\|SXS^{-1}\| \leq \|X\|$,
- (v) $\forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H)$, $\|SXS^{-1}\| \geq \|X\|$.

Proof. The equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) is trivial.

The equivalences (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii), (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iv), (ii) \Leftrightarrow (v) follow from the fact that $\inf_{\|X\|=1} \|SXS^{-1}\| = \frac{1}{\|S\| \|S^{-1}\|}$ and $\sup_{\|X\|=1} \|SXS^{-1}\| = \|S\| \|S^{-1}\|$. \square

From the above Proposition, it follows that the following inequalities hold

$$\forall S \in \mathbb{R}^*\mathfrak{U}(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| = 2\|X\| \quad (11)$$

$$\forall S \in \mathbb{R}^*\mathfrak{U}(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| \leq 2\|X\| \quad (12)$$

$$\forall S \in \mathbb{R}^*\mathfrak{U}(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| \geq 2\|X\| \quad (13)$$

In [4], we have proved that the inequality (11) is closed.

In the next Proposition, we shall show that the inequality (12) is also closed. Note that the inequality (13) is not closed, since its closure is exactly the inequality (8).

PROPOSITION 4. *Let $S \in \mathfrak{I}(H)$. The two following properties are equivalent:*

- (i) $S \in \mathbb{R}^*\mathfrak{U}(H)$,
- (ii) $\forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H)$, $\|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| \leq 2\|X\|$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). This implication is clear.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Since, the inequality $\|SXS^{-1}\| \geq \frac{\|X\|}{\|S\| \|S^{-1}\|}$ holds for every $X \in \mathfrak{B}(H)$, then from (ii) it follows that

$$\sup_{\|X\|=1} \left(\frac{\|X\|}{\|S\| \|S^{-1}\|} + \|S^{-1}XS\| \right) \leq 2$$

Thus $\|S\| \|S^{-1}\| + \frac{1}{\|S\| \|S^{-1}\|} \leq 2$. Hence, $\|S\| \|S^{-1}\| = 1$. Therefore, the condition (i) follows immediately from the above Proposition. \square

On the other hand, it is easy to see that the following inequality holds

$$\forall S \in \mathbb{R}^*\mathfrak{U}(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|S^*XS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS^*\| = 2\|X\| \quad (14)$$

In [4], we have proved that this last inequality (14) is closed.

Another closed operator inequality can be found easily from the inequalities (4) and (14), that is

$$\forall S \in \mathbb{R}^* \mathfrak{U}(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|S^*XS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS^*\| = 2\|X\| \quad (15)$$

From above, the class $\mathbb{R}^* \mathfrak{U}(H)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}^* \mathfrak{U}(H) &= \{S \in \mathfrak{I}(H) : \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| = 2\|X\|\} \\ &= \{S \in \mathfrak{I}(H) : \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| \leq 2\|X\|\} \\ &= \{S \in \mathfrak{I}(H) : \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|S^*XS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS^*\| = 2\|X\|\} \\ &= \{S \in \mathfrak{I}(H) : \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|S^*XS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS^*\| = 2\|X\|\} \end{aligned}$$

If $R(S, X)$ denotes one of the four last relations, then the class $\mathfrak{U}(H)$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{U}(H) = \{S \in \mathfrak{I}_1(H) : \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), R(S, X)\}$$

Also, from above it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}^* \mathfrak{U}_r(H) &= \{S \in \mathcal{S}_0(H) : \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| = 2\|X\|\} \\ &= \{S \in \mathcal{S}_0(H) : \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| = 2\|X\|\} \\ \mathfrak{U}_r(H) &= \{S \in \mathcal{S}_1(H) : \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| = 2\|X\|\} \\ &= \{S \in \mathcal{S}_1(H) : \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| = 2\|X\|\} \end{aligned}$$

In [4], we have proved also that the following inequality is closed

$$\forall S \in \mathbb{C}^* \mathfrak{U}_r(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| = 2\|X\| \quad (16)$$

So the class $\mathbb{C}^* \mathfrak{U}_r(H)$ is given by

$$\mathbb{C}^* \mathfrak{U}_r(H) = \{S \in \mathfrak{I}(H) : \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| = 2\|X\|\}$$

6. Open Problems

It is easy to see that the closed inequality (3) is equivalent to the following inequality

$$\forall S \in \mathbb{C}^* \mathcal{S}_0(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|S^2X + XS^2\| \geq 2\|SXS\|$$

This last is also closed in $\mathfrak{I}(H) \times \mathfrak{B}(H)$. But the relation given in this inequality is defined on $\mathfrak{B}(H) \times \mathfrak{B}(H)$, and using McIntosh inequality (2), it follows immediately that

$$\forall S \in \mathbb{C} \mathcal{S}(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|S^2X + XS^2\| \geq 2\|SXS\| \quad (17)$$

PROBLEM 1. What is (in $\mathfrak{B}(H) \times \mathfrak{B}(H)$) the closure of the inequality (17)?

On the other hand, since $\|S^2X\| = \|S^*SX\|$ and $\|XS^2\| = \|XSS^*\|$, for every $(S, X) \in \mathfrak{N}(H) \times \mathfrak{B}(H)$, it follows from McIntosh inequality that the following inequality holds

$$\forall S \in \mathfrak{N}(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|S^2X\| + \|XS^2\| \geq 2\|SXS\| \quad (18)$$

Note that the previous inequality (8) follows immediately from this last inequality.

PROBLEM 2. What is (in $\mathfrak{B}(H) \times \mathfrak{B}(H)$) the closure of the inequality (18)?

PROBLEM 3. It is easy to see that the two following inequalities hold

$$\forall S \in \mathbb{R}^*\mathfrak{U}(H), \forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| \leq 2\|X\| \quad (19)$$

$$\forall S \in \mathbb{C}^*\mathcal{S}_0(H) \cup \mathbb{R}^*\mathfrak{U}(H), \|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| \leq \|S^*XS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS^*\| \quad (20)$$

What is the closure of each of these two inequalities?

REFERENCES

- [1] G. CORACH, R. PORTA, AND L. RECHT, *An operator inequality*, Linear Algebra Appl., **142** (1990), 153–158.
- [2] A. MCINTOSH, *Heinz inequalities and perturbation of spectral families*, Macquarie Mathematical Reports, Macquarie Univ., 1979.
- [3] A. SEDDIK, *Some results related to Corach-Porta-Recht inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **129** (2001), 3009–3015.
- [4] A. SEDDIK, *On the injective norm and characterization of some subclasses of normal operators by inequalities or equalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **351** (2009), 277–284.

(Received March 23, 2009)

Ameur Seddik
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Batna University
Algeria
e-mail: seddikameur@hotmail.com