

Mathematical
Inequalities
& **A**pplications

*With Compliments
of the Author*

Zagreb, Croatia

Volume 27, Number 1, January 2024

Ameur Seddik

*Some results related to the Heinz inequality in
 C^* -algebra*

MATHEMATICAL INEQUALITIES & APPLICATIONS

AIMS AND SCOPE

Mathematical Inequalities & Applications (MIA, Math. Inequal. Appl.) brings together original research papers in all areas of mathematics, provided they are concerned with inequalities or their role. From time to time MIA will publish invited survey articles. Short notes with interesting results or open problems will also be accepted.

MIA is published quarterly, in January, April, July and October.

SUBMISSION

Manuscripts should be submitted electronically in PostScript or Adobe Acrobat PDF format to the MIA Editorial Office through MIA page: www.ele-math.com or by e-mail mia@ele-math.com

The author who submitted the article for publication will be denoted as a corresponding author. He/She manages all communication and correspondence with the MIA regarding the article. Authors may indicate a member of the Editorial Board whom they consider appropriate for the article. However, assignment to that particular editor is not assured.

In order to facilitate refereeing, copies of those papers (whether by the author or someone else) which are essential and referred to in the manuscript but are not conveniently accessible, should be enclosed.

COPYRIGHT

The acceptance of the article automatically implies the copyright transfer to MIA. Manuscripts are accepted for review with the understanding that the same work has not been published (except in the form of an abstract), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that it will not be submitted to another journal, and that its submission for publication has been approved by all the authors.

PREPARATION OF MANUSCRIPT

Manuscripts should be written in English.

The publisher strongly encourages submission of manuscripts written in TeX or one of its variants LaTeX, AMSTeX or AMSLaTeX. On acceptance of the paper, authors will be asked to send final version of file(s) to the Editorial Office.

TITLE PAGE

A title page should include the title of the article, author's name (no degrees) author's affiliation, e-mail addresses, mailing address of the corresponding author and running head less than 60 characters. Authors should be listed alphabetically.

ABSTRACT, KEY WORDS, SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION

The manuscript must be accompanied by a brief abstract, no longer than 100-150 words. It should make minimal use of mathematical symbols and displayed formulas. Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) with primary (and secondary) subject classification codes and a list of 4-5 key words must be given.

FIGURES

Figures should be prepared in a digital form suitable for direct reproduction, grey scale only, preferably at resolution of 300 dpi or higher, sized to fit a journal page, and in EPS, TIFF or JPEG format. Figures should have a short descriptive title and should be numbered consecutively in order of appearance in the text. The position of each figure in the text should be indicated.

REFERENCES

Bibliographic references should be listed alphabetically at the end of the article. The author should consult Mathematical Reviews for the standard abbreviations of journal names.

PROOFS

The author will receive proofs only once. Late return of the proofs will delay the article to a later issue.

PAGE CHARGES, OFFPRINTS

There are no page charges. Authors will receive PDF file of the printed article free of charge. Additional offprints may be ordered from 'MIA' prior to publication.

FORTHCOMING PAPERS

Papers accepted and prepared for publication will appear in the forthcoming section of Journal Web page. They are identical in form as final printed papers, except volume, issue and page numbers.

MIA is published by Publishing House **ELEMENT**, Zagreb, Croatia.

The publication of this journal is supported by Ministry of science, education and sports, Croatia

All correspondence and subscription orders should be addressed to the Editorial Office:

Mathematical Inequalities & Applications
Editorial Office
Menceticeva 2, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
www.ele-math.com
e-mail: mia@ele-math.com
Fax: +385 1 6008799

SOME RESULTS RELATED TO THE HEINZ INEQUALITY IN C^* -ALGEBRA

AMEUR SEDDIK

(Communicated by J.-C. Bourin)

Abstract. Let f, g be two continuous non-negative real-valued functions defined on the non-negative half-line $[0, \infty)$ that satisfy the condition $f(t)g(t) = t$, for all $t \geq 0$, and let P and Q denote two positive elements in an unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . We shall show that the following model of inequality holds:

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \|f(P)Xg(Q) + g(P)Xf(Q)\| \geq 2 \left\| P^{\frac{1}{2}}XQ^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|.$$

Through this model, we shall establish the universality of the Heinz operator norm inequality and related inequalities within the broad spectrum of any abstract unital C^* -algebra.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{B}(H)$ be the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex separable Hilbert space H . We consider an abstract unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} with unit I of norm one.

In operator theory, there exist several remarkable operator norm inequalities. In this work, we concentrate to a family of them related to the Heinz inequality, where each of which can follow from the others:

For every two positive operators P and Q in $\mathfrak{B}(H)$, and for every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, the following double operator inequality holds:

$$\forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \quad \|PX + XQ\| \geq \left\| P^\lambda XQ^{1-\lambda} + P^{1-\lambda} XQ^\lambda \right\| \geq 2 \left\| P^{\frac{1}{2}}XQ^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|. \quad (1)$$

For every two positive operators P and Q in $\mathfrak{B}(H)$, the following operator inequality holds:

$$\forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \quad \|PX + XQ\| \geq 2 \left\| P^{\frac{1}{2}}XQ^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|. \quad (2)$$

For every operators A and B in $\mathfrak{B}(H)$, the following operator inequality holds:

$$\forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \quad \|A^*AX + XBB^*\| \geq 2 \|AXB\|. \quad (3)$$

Mathematics subject classification (2020): 46L05, 47A05, 47A30, 47B15.

Keywords and phrases: Arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, Heinz inequality, C^* -algebra, operator norm inequality.

Note that the first inequality (1) was introduced by Heinz [5], but its proof is somewhat complicated. So, McIntosh [9] proved the inequality (2) (where (2) follows immediately from (1) with $\lambda = 0, 1, \frac{1}{2}$) and deduced from it the inequality (1) using an iteration method. It is easy to see the equivalence between (2) and (3) and where each of them is called the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality. In [2, 4, 10], we find a largest family of inequalities including the three given above inequalities and follows from each other. A fourth inequality of this family is given by: for every invertible selfadjoint operator S in $\mathfrak{B}(H)$, the following inequality holds:

$$\forall X \in \mathfrak{B}(H), \quad \|SX S^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| \geq 2\|X\|. \quad (4)$$

Independently of the work of Heinz and McIntosh and with another motivation, Corach et al. [3] have proved this last inequality.

From matrix theory to operator theory, the four distinguished inequalities presented before were reformulated in a general situation with any unitarily invariant norm instead of the operator norm, see [1, 6, 7, 8].

Our focus in this paper is to extend the above results to an abstract unital C^* -algebra where we shall present:

1. a model of inequality (the main theorem) given by: for f, g be two continuous non-negative (resp. positive) real-valued functions defined on the non-negative (resp. positive) half-line $[0, \infty)$ (resp. $(0, \infty)$) satisfying $f(t)g(t) = t$, for all $t \geq 0$ (resp. $t > 0$), and for two positive (resp. invertible positive) elements P, Q in \mathcal{A} , the following inequality holds:

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \|f(P)Xg(Q) + g(P)Xf(Q)\| \geq 2\left\|P^{\frac{1}{2}}XQ^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|,$$

2. for two positive (resp. invertible positive) elements P, Q in \mathcal{A} , and for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ (resp. $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$), the following inequality holds:

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \left\|P^\lambda XQ^{1-\lambda} + P^{1-\lambda}XQ^\lambda\right\| \geq 2\left\|P^{\frac{1}{2}}XQ^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|,$$

3. the four above inequalities (1)–(4) remain true.

All these results are given in the section 3.

In section 2, we shall give some observations about the numerical version of the Heinz inequality, and we introduce four models of numerical inequalities and where one of them includes the numerical version of Heinz inequality.

2. Some observations about the numerical version of Heinz inequality.

In this section, we shall interest in the numerical version of Heinz inequality (1) that says: for every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, the following holds:

$$\forall s, t > 0, \quad \frac{s+t}{2} \geq \frac{t^\lambda s^{1-\lambda} + t^{1-\lambda} s^\lambda}{2} \geq \sqrt{st}. \quad (5)$$

A simple proof of (5) was previously accomplished given that the function: $[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \lambda \mapsto t^\lambda s^{1-\lambda} + t^{1-\lambda} s^\lambda$, is continuous, convex, and has symmetry about the vertical line $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ (where s, t are two positive parameters).

We propose a straightforward and fundamental proof of (5) that eschews reliance on the principles of continuity and convexity by considering the two positive real-valued functions f and g defined on the positive half-line $(0, \infty)$ by $f(t) = t^\lambda$ and $g(t) = t^{1-\lambda}$, for every $t > 0$ and where λ is a parameter in $[0, 1]$. Indeed, for $s, t > 0$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{s+t}{2} - \frac{t^\lambda s^{1-\lambda} + t^{1-\lambda} s^\lambda}{2} &= \frac{1}{2} (f(t) - f(s))(g(t) - g(s)), \\ &\geq 0, \text{ since } f \text{ and } g \text{ are both non-decreasing,} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{t^\lambda s^{1-\lambda} + t^{1-\lambda} s^\lambda}{2} - \sqrt{st} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{f(t)g(s)} - \sqrt{f(s)g(t)} \right)^2 \geq 0.$$

This proves easily the numerical version of Heinz inequality .

Extending the analysis, if λ lies outside the interval $[0, 1]$, in the domain of $\mathbb{R} \setminus [0, 1]$, one function among f and g is non-decreasing while the other is non-increasing. Consequently:

$$\frac{s+t}{2} - \frac{t^\lambda s^{1-\lambda} + t^{1-\lambda} s^\lambda}{2} = \frac{1}{2} (f(t) - f(s))(g(t) - g(s)) \leq 0.$$

This leads to the conclusion that for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [0, 1]$, the inequality below is valid:

$$\forall s, t > 0, \frac{t^\lambda s^{1-\lambda} + t^{1-\lambda} s^\lambda}{2} \geq \frac{s+t}{2} \geq \sqrt{st}. \tag{6}$$

It is important to note that the second part of (6) is recognized as the classical numerical arithmetic-geometric mean inequality. Inequality (6) can thus be regarded as a dual inequality to the Heinz inequality (5).

Furthermore, the proof outlined above maintains the validity of the second part of inequality (5) for any real-valued λ . Therefore, it holds true that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\forall s, t > 0, \frac{t^\lambda s^{1-\lambda} + t^{1-\lambda} s^\lambda}{2} \geq \sqrt{st}, \tag{7}$$

Combining the two inequalities (5) and (6), it is derived that for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [0, 1]$ and for every $\mu \in [0, 1]$, the following inequality holds:

$$\forall s, t > 0, t^\lambda s^{1-\lambda} + t^{1-\lambda} s^\lambda \geq t^\mu s^{1-\mu} + t^{1-\mu} s^\mu. \tag{8}$$

Our last observation is to see that the condition $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ is necessary and sufficient to have the first part of the Heinz inequality (5) holds.

Indeed, assume that the first part of (5) holds for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [0, 1]$. So, from (5) and (6), we have $t^\lambda s^{1-\lambda} + t^{1-\lambda} s^\lambda = s + t$, for all $s, t > 0$. This gives use that $(t^\lambda - s^\lambda)(t^{1-\lambda} - s^{1-\lambda}) = 0$, for all $s, t > 0$. So, we have $(2^\lambda - 1)(2^{1-\lambda} - 1) = 0$.

Hence, $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = 1$, contradiction with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [0, 1]$. This proves that the condition $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ is necessary to have the first part of (5) holds. This proves that the condition $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ is necessary and sufficient for the first part of (5).

In this section, we shall show that each of the four above inequalities is a part of a model including infinitely numerical inequalities. In particular, the numerical version of the Heinz inequality becomes a simple example of a model including infinitely of inequalities.

In the following definition, we introduce the notion of the conjugate of a numerical function which is the basis of all results of this paper.

DEFINITION 1. If f, g be two continuous non-negative (resp. positive) real-valued functions defined on the non-negative (resp. positive) half-line $[0, \infty)$ (resp. $(0, \infty)$), we say that g is a conjugate of f , if $f(t)g(t) = t$, for all $t \geq 0$ (resp. $t > 0$).

Note that each continuous function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ has a unique continuous conjugate function g defined on $(0, \infty)$ given by $g(t) = \frac{t}{f(t)}$, for all $t > 0$, and every continuous function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ has at most one continuous conjugate function $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

We denote by:

- (i). C_+^* (resp. C_+), the class of all continuous function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ (resp. $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ having a continuous conjugate function $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$,
- (ii). \tilde{f} , the conjugate of f , where $f \in C_+^*$ (resp. C_+),
- (iii). H_λ , the element in C_+^* (resp. C_+) given by $H_\lambda(t) = t^\lambda$, for all $t > 0$ (resp. $t \geq 0$), where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\lambda \in [0, 1]$), and where the conjugate of H_λ is $H_{1-\lambda}$,
- (iv). (C1), the class of all $f \in C_+^*$ (resp. C_+) such that f and \tilde{f} are both non-decreasing,
- (v). (C2), the class of all $f \in C_+^*$ (resp. C_+) such that one function among f and \tilde{f} is non-decreasing while the other is non-increasing.

Note that H_λ belongs to the subclass (C1) (resp. (C2)) of C_+^* , if $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ (resp. $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [0, 1]$); and H_λ belongs to the subclass (C1) of C_+ , for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

For $f \in C_+^*$ (resp. C_+), it is clear that for every $s, t > 0$ (resp. $s, t \geq 0$), the two following equalities hold:

$$\begin{cases} (f(t)\tilde{f}(s) + f(s)\tilde{f}(t)) - 2\sqrt{st} = \left(\sqrt{f(t)\tilde{f}(s)} - \sqrt{f(s)\tilde{f}(t)} \right)^2, \\ (s+t) - (f(t)\tilde{f}(s) + f(s)\tilde{f}(t)) = (f(t) - f(s)) (\tilde{f}(t) - \tilde{f}(s)). \end{cases}$$

The following proposition follows immediately from the two last identities.

PROPOSITION 1. Let $f, g \in C_+^*$ (resp. C_+).

(1). Assume that f belongs to the class (C1). Then, the following double inequality holds:

$$\forall s, t > 0 \text{ (resp. } s, t \geq 0), \frac{s+t}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(f(t)\tilde{f}(s) + f(s)\tilde{f}(t) \right) \geq \sqrt{st}. \quad (MI1)$$

(2). Assume that f belongs to the class (C2). Then, the following double inequality holds:

$$\forall s, t > 0 \text{ (resp. } s, t \geq 0), \frac{1}{2} \left(f(t)\tilde{f}(s) + f(s)\tilde{f}(t) \right) \geq \frac{s+t}{2} \geq \sqrt{st}. \quad (MI2)$$

(3). The following inequality holds:

$$\forall s, t > 0 \text{ (resp. } s, t \geq 0), \frac{1}{2} \left(f(t)\tilde{f}(s) + f(s)\tilde{f}(t) \right) \geq \sqrt{st}. \quad (MI3)$$

(4). Assume that f belongs to the class (C1) and g belongs to the class (C2). Then, the following inequality holds:

$$\forall s, t > 0 \text{ (resp. } s, t \geq 0), \left(g(t)\tilde{g}(s) + g(s)\tilde{g}(t) \right) \geq \left(f(t)\tilde{f}(s) + f(s)\tilde{f}(t) \right). \quad (MI4)$$

It is clear that with the class C_+^* :

- (i). the model of inequality (MI1) includes the numerical version of Heinz inequality (5) with $f = H_\lambda$ and where $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,
- (ii). the model of inequality (MI2) includes the inequality dual of Heinz (6) with $f = H_\lambda$ and where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [0, 1]$,
- (iii). the model (MI3) includes the inequality (7) with $f = H_\lambda$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (iv). the model (MI4) includes the inequality (8) with $f = H_\mu$, where $\mu \in [0, 1]$, and $g = H_\lambda$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [0, 1]$.

3. Model of norm inequality in unital C^* -algebra and applications

In this section, we elaborate on a model of norm inequalities within the framework of an abstract unital C^* -algebra. Through this model, we shall establish the universality of the Heinz inequality, denoted herein as (1), along with the four other known operator norm inequalities indexed as (2), (3), (4) within the broad spectrum of any abstract unital C^* -algebra.

We introduce the following notations needed for this section:

- (i). $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}' : \varphi(I) = 1 = \|\varphi\| \right\}$, the set of all states on \mathcal{A} ,

- (ii). $V(A) = \{\varphi(A) : \varphi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})\}$, the algebraic numerical range of an element $A \in \mathcal{A}$,
- (iii) $|A|$, the positive square root of the positive element A^*A of \mathcal{A} (it is called the modulus of A), where $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

We present the model cited before in the following main result:

PROPOSITION 2. Consider f belonging to C_+ (resp. C_+^*), and let P and Q represent two elements of \mathcal{A} that are positive (resp. invertible positive). Under these conditions, the following inequality holds:

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \left\| f(P)X\tilde{f}(Q) + \tilde{f}(P)Xf(Q) \right\| \geq 2 \left\| P^{\frac{1}{2}}XQ^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|. \quad (M15)$$

Proof. Case 1. Suppose $f \in C_+$, with P and Q being positive elements.

Let $X \in \mathcal{A}$ and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$. The validity of inequality (M15) is immediate in the case where $P^{\frac{1}{2}}XQ^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$.

Assuming the contrary, that $P^{\frac{1}{2}}XQ^{\frac{1}{2}} \neq 0$ and put $A = f(P)X\tilde{f}(Q)$ and $B = \tilde{f}(P)Xf(Q)$.

Since $(A - B)^*(A - B) \geq 0$, and $(A - B)^*(A - B) = (A^*A + B^*B) - 2\text{Re}(A^*B)$, so it follows immediately that

$$\varphi(A^*A + B^*B) \geq 2\text{Re}\varphi(A^*B). \quad (A)$$

So, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f(P)X\tilde{f}(Q) + \tilde{f}(P)Xf(Q) \right\|^2 &= \|A + B\|^2, \\ &= \|A^*A + B^*B + 2\text{Re}(A^*B)\|, \\ &\geq \varphi(A^*A + B^*B) + 2\text{Re}\varphi(A^*B), \\ &\geq 4\text{Re}\varphi(A^*B), \quad \text{using (A)}. \\ &= 4\text{Re}\varphi\left(\tilde{f}(Q)X^*f(P)\tilde{f}(P)Xf(Q)\right), \\ &= 4\text{Re}\varphi\left(\tilde{f}(Q)X^*PXf(Q)\right), \quad \text{since } f(P)\tilde{f}(P) = P. \end{aligned} \quad (B)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma\left(\tilde{f}(Q)X^*PXf(Q)\right) - \{0\} &= \sigma\left(X^*PXf(Q)\tilde{f}(Q)\right) - \{0\}, \\ &= \sigma\left(X^*PXQ\right) - \{0\}, \quad \text{since } f(Q)\tilde{f}(Q) = Q, \\ &= \sigma\left(Q^{\frac{1}{2}}X^*PXQ^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) - \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $Q^{\frac{1}{2}}X^*PXQ^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(P^{\frac{1}{2}}XQ^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^* \left(P^{\frac{1}{2}}XQ^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ is a nonzero positive element of \mathcal{A} , then its norm $\left\|P^{\frac{1}{2}}XQ^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|^2 \in \sigma\left(Q^{\frac{1}{2}}X^*PXQ^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) - \{0\}$. So, $\left\|P^{\frac{1}{2}}XQ^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|^2 \in \sigma\left(\tilde{f}(Q)X^*PXf(Q)\right) - \{0\}$. Since $\sigma\left(\tilde{f}(Q)X^*PXf(Q)\right) \subset V\left(\tilde{f}(Q)X^*PXf(Q)\right)$, thus, $\left\|P^{\frac{1}{2}}XQ^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|^2 \in V\left(\tilde{f}(Q)X^*PXf(Q)\right)$. Then, we may choose the state φ such that $\varphi\left(\tilde{f}(Q)X^*PXf(Q)\right) = \left\|P^{\frac{1}{2}}XQ^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|^2$. Using the above inequality (B), the model (M15) follows immediately.

Case 2. When $f \in C_+^*$, and P, Q are invertible positive, the argument proceeds along similar lines than the case 1. \square

COROLLARY 1. Let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ (resp. $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$), and let P and Q be two positive (resp. invertible positive) elements in \mathcal{A} . Then, the following inequality holds:

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{A}, \left\|P^\lambda XQ^{1-\lambda} + P^{1-\lambda} XQ^\lambda\right\| \geq 2 \left\|P^{\frac{1}{2}}XQ^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|. \tag{9}$$

Proof. Applying the model (M15) with the map $f = H_\lambda$, where $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ (resp. $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$), the inequality (9) follows immediately. \square

REMARK 1. In this context of an abstract unital C*-algebra, the above corollary shows us that:

1. the second part of the Heinz inequality (1) remains true,
2. in the case where P, Q are invertible positive, the second part of the Heinz inequality (1) remains true, but for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

PROPOSITION 3. Each of the three following inequalities holds and follows from each other:

(i). For every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and for every two positive elements P, Q in \mathcal{A} , the following inequality holds:

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{A}, \left\|PX + XQ\right\| \geq \left\|P^\lambda XQ^{1-\lambda} + P^{1-\lambda} XQ^\lambda\right\|. \tag{10}$$

(ii). For every two positive elements $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}$, the following inequality holds:

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{A}, \left\|PX + XQ\right\| \geq 2 \left\|P^{\frac{1}{2}}XQ^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|. \tag{11}$$

(iii). For every two elements $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, the following inequality holds:

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{A}, \left\|A^*AX + XBB^*\right\| \geq 2 \left\|AXB\right\|. \tag{12}$$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Setting $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ in inequality (10) directly yields (11).

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Given (ii), to establish (12), let $A, B, X \in \mathcal{A}$ and denote $R = |A|$ and $S = |B^*|$. It is trivial to verify that $\| |A|X|B^* \| = \|AXB\|$, $R^2 \geq 0$, $R = (R^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $S^2 \geq 0$, $S = (S^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. From these and applying inequality (11), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^*AX + XBB^*\| &= \|R^2X + XS^2\|, \\ &\geq 2\|RXS\|, \\ &= 2\| |A|X|B^* \|, \\ &= 2\|AXB\|. \end{aligned}$$

The implication (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) is easy to prove.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Assuming (ii), for any $X \in \mathcal{A}$ and positive elements $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}$, consider the continuous function:

$$\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \lambda \mapsto \|P^\lambda X Q^{1-\lambda} + P^{1-\lambda} X Q^\lambda\|.$$

From the inequality (11) and by using the same argument as used by McIntosh [9] for proving the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) in the case of the unital C*-algebra $\mathfrak{B}(H)$ (it remains true in our general situation), the following condition holds:

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1], \quad \varphi\left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta)). \tag{C}$$

Put $\Gamma = \{\lambda \in [0, 1] : \varphi(\lambda) \leq \|PX + XQ\|\}$, $\Delta_n = \left\{ \frac{k}{2^n} : k = 0, 1, \dots, n \right\}$ ($n \geq 1$),

and $\Delta = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n$.

With $0, 1 \in \Gamma$ and the inequality (C), employing an iterative technique, it is deduced that, $\Delta \subset \Gamma$. In addition, since Δ is dense in $[0, 1]$ and Γ is closed, then $\Gamma = [0, 1]$.

This proves (i).

On the other hand, the inequality (11) holds by taking $\lambda = 1/2$ in the inequality (9) given in the above corollary. This proves the Proposition. \square

REMARK 2. (1). Let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and let two positive elements P, Q in \mathcal{A} . Using the last corollary and the last proposition, the following double inequality holds:

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \|PX + XQ\| \geq \|P^\lambda X Q^{1-\lambda} + P^{1-\lambda} X Q^\lambda\| \geq 2\|P^{\frac{1}{2}} X Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|. \tag{13}$$

The double inequality (13) (resp. the two inequalities (11) and (12)) asserts that the Heinz inequality (1) (resp. the two arithmetic-geometric mean inequalities (2) and (3)) remains (resp. remain) true in the general setting of an abstract unital C*-algebras.

- (2). Note that the complete proof of the inequality (C) given by McIntosh is not in any journal, but it is presented in [4, Theorem 1].
- (3). The model (M15) yields infinitely of inequalities and one of them is the second part of the Heinz inequality (13) that contains the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality (11).

COROLLARY 2. Let $R, S \in \mathcal{A}$.

- 1. Assume that R, S are selfadjoint. The following inequality holds:

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \|R^2X + XS^2\| \geq 2 \|RXS\|. \tag{14}$$

- 2. Assume that S is invertible selfadjoint. The following inequality holds:

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \|SXS^{-1} + S^{-1}XS\| \geq 2 \|X\|. \tag{15}$$

- 3. Assume that R, S are normal. The following inequality holds:

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \|R^2X\| + \|XS^2\| \geq 2 \|RXS\|. \tag{16}$$

- 4. Assume that S is invertible normal. The following inequality holds:

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \|SXS^{-1}\| + \|S^{-1}XS\| \geq 2 \|X\|. \tag{17}$$

Proof. Consider an element $X \in \mathcal{A}$.

- 1. Given that R and S are self-adjoint, it follows that $(R^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = |R|$ and $(S^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = |S|$. Using (11) with $P = S^2$ and $Q = S^2$, and since $\|RXS\| = \| |R|X|S| \|$, the inequality (14) follows immediately.
- 2. The inequality (15) follows immediately from the inequality (14).
- 3. In light of the normality of R and S , we deduce $\|R^2X\| = \|R^*RX\|$ and $\|XS^2\| = \|XSS^*\|$. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \|R^2X\| + \|XS^2\| &= \|R^*RX\| + \|XSS^*\|, \\ &\geq \|R^*RX + XSS^*\|, \\ &\geq 2 \|RXS\|, \text{ using (12).} \end{aligned}$$

This validates inequality (16).

- 4. Inequality (17) is immediately inferred from inequality (16). \square

REMARK 3. Inequality (15) asserts that the Corach-Porta-Recht inequality (4) persists to hold within the framework of any abstract unital C^* -algebra.

In the next proposition, using the model (M15), we shall present an example of inequality that is not related to the Heinz inequality in this context of unital C^* -algebra.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let $a > 0$, and let P and Q be two positive elements in \mathcal{A} . The following inequality holds:*

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \left\| a^P X a^{-Q} Q + P a^{-P} X a^Q \right\| \geq 2 \left\| P^{\frac{1}{2}} X Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|. \quad (18)$$

Proof. Define the map f on $[0, \infty)$ by $f(t) = a^t$, for $t \geq 0$. Clearly, f belongs to the class C_+ . $f \in C_+$, and its conjugate is the map g defined on $[0, \infty)$ by $g(t) = t a^{-t}$, for $t \geq 0$. The inequality (18) is obtained promptly by applying the model (M15) with the map f . \square

In operator theory:

- (i). we have shown [11] that the class of all invertible operator $S \in \mathfrak{B}(H)$ satisfying the inequality of Corach-Porta-Recht (4) is the class of all operator of the form $S = \lambda M$, where λ is a non-zero scalar and M is an invertible selfadjoint operator of $\mathfrak{B}(H)$ (note that the inequality (15) is exactly the inequality of Corach-Porta-Recht (4) with $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{B}(H)$),
- (ii). we have shown [12] that the class of all invertible operator $S \in \mathfrak{B}(H)$ satisfying the inequality (17) with the case $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{B}(H)$ is the class of all invertible normal operators in $\mathfrak{B}(H)$.

So, we may ask the two following problems:

PROBLEM 1. Is it true that the class of all invertible element $S \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the inequality (15) is the class of all element of the form $S = \lambda M$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and M is an invertible selfadjoint element of \mathcal{A} ?

PROBLEM 2. Is it true that the class of all invertible element $S \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the inequality (17) is the class of all invertible normal elements in \mathcal{A} ?

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions that improved the quality of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. BHATIA AND C. DAVIS, *More matrix forms of the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **14** (1993), 132–136.
- [2] C. BOURAYA AND A. SEDDIK, *On the characterizations of some distinguished subclasses of Hilbert space operators*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), **84** (2018), 611–627.
- [3] G. CORACH, R. PORTA, AND L. RECHT, *An operator inequality*, Linear Algebra Appl., **142** (1990), 153–158.
- [4] J. FUJII, M. FUJII, T. FURUTA, R. NAKAMOTO, *Norm inequalities equivalent to Heinz inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (3) (1993), 827–830.

- [5] E. HEINZ, *Beiträge zur Störungstheorie der Spectralzerlegung*, Math. Ann. **123** (1951), 415–438.
- [6] R. A. HORN, *Norm bounds for Hadamard product and the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality for unitarily invariant norm*, Linear Algebra Appl. **223/224** (1995), 355–361.
- [7] F. KITTANEH, *A note on the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality for matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **171** (1992), 1–8.
- [8] R. MATHIAS, *An arithmetic-geometric-harmonic mean inequality involving Hadamard product*, Linear Algebra Appl. **184** (1993), 71–78.
- [9] A. MCINTOSH, *Heinz Inequalities and Perturbation of Spectral Families*, Macquarie Mathematical Reports, Macquarie Univ., 1979.
- [10] A. SEDDIK, *Operator inequalities related to the arithmetic–geometric mean inequality and characterizations*, Adv. Oper. Theory **8**, 8 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43036>.
- [11] A. SEDDIK, *Some results related to Corach-Porta-Recht inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), 3009–3015.
- [12] A. SEDDIK, *On the injective norm and characterization of some subclasses of normal operators by inequalities or equalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **351** (2009), 277–284.

(Received September 16, 2023)

Ameur Seddik
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Batna 2, Batna, Algeria
e-mail: a.seddik@univ-batna2.dz

